**Source Evaluation, Citation, and Dual Entry for Source # 2**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Type of source (book, periodical, online periodical, website, etc) | Book |
| Name(s) of Author(s) | Gilbert Ryle |
| What are the credentials of the author(s)? (If the source doesn’t offer proof of her/his credibility, search the author’s name online to find it yourself.) | Waynflete Professor of Metaphysical Philsophy at Oxford University |
| Group, organization, or institution that published or sponsored the source | The Information Philosopher |
| Is this a reputable organization? What kind of organization is it? (If you don’t know, search the organization in the same way you checked the author.) | Yes, it is a website collecting philosophical essays. |
| Does the author credit other sources?If **yes**, check at least one source for its availability. What qualifications do this author/organization have?  If **no**, does the source acknowledge others with related credentials or qualifications? | [X] yes[ ] no | Descartes’ Meditations on First Philosophy, philosopher |
| Is the information time sensitive? If **yes**, how recent/current is the source infomation? If **no**, how might it help illustrate something that is timeless (some human, natural, or physical trait)? | [ ] yes[X] no | This essay doesn’t relate his argument to any new scientific studies or anything but derives his argument through logic. |
| Does the source make an argument? If **yes**, how does the source support and organize its claims? If **no**, how will the source benefit your essay? | [X] yes[ ] no | Ryle argues how the mind and body shouldn’t be compared in the first place by stating Descartes’ “Category Mistake.” |

MLA Citation: Ryle, Gilbert. “Descartes’ Myth.” *Gilbert Ryle – Descartes’ Myth*. The Information Philospher, n.d. Web. 11 Feb. 2013.

Notes from the source about your topic:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WHAT THE TEXT SAYS** | **WHAT I THINK** |
| * Ryle’s main point he uses to prove Descartes wrong is with the “Category Mistake” – comparing two things that can’t logically be compared
* “Ghost in the Machine” description of Descartes’ theory
 | * After reading both arguments I found Ryle’s to be the most convincing and logical.
 |